


**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 02 2008

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of : **Confirmation No. 4237**
Per Eivind GRAMME et al. : **Attorney Docket No. 2005_0166A**
Serial No. 10/522,984 : **Group Art Unit 3671**
Filed August 5, 2005 : **Examiner Thomas A. Beach**

**A PIPE SEPARATOR FOR THE SEPARATION
OF FLUIDS, PARTICULARLY OIL, GAS AND
WATER**

Mail Stop: Amendment

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 30, 2008. In view of the following representations, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejection of claims 10-15.

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 10-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-29 of